



CRL OP NO. 1112 c



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 1112 of 2025

velumayil
No 69 4th street KVK kuppam Nethaji Nagar Backside
Kattivakkam Tiruvallur and 5 Others

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by
Inspector of Police M-5 Ennore Police Station, Chennai. (Cr.No. 2
of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):


Rajkumar S
G Ganesh

For Respondent(s):

S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side)
Madras High Court.

ORDER

The petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 115(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW in Crime No.2 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a matrimonial dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. Hence, this case.

WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel appearing for petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide with any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, therefore, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for granting of anticipatory bail to the petitioners stating that the petitioners harassed the defacto complainant.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tiruvottiyur** on condition that the petitioner

shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** each **with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, every first Saturday at 10.30 a.m.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself
laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 269 of B.N.S.

22-01-2025

msv

To
Inspector of Police
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Chennai.