



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 04.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No.2703 of 2025

Kesavan

..Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

2. The Sub Collector,
Hosur – 635 109

3. The Tahsildar,
Soolagiri Taluk,
Soolagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

4. Muniraj

5. Eeramma,

6. Krishnammal,

7. Ramesh



WEB COPY

8. Munikrishna,

9. Soojamma,

10. Kanthamma @ Santhamma

11. Malamma @ Maramma

12. Annaiappa

13. Nanjundan

14. Munichandran

15. Subramani

16. Narayanappa

17. Jayamma

18. Santhamma

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated.5.10.2024 sent for rectifying the mistake occurred during UDR scheme in respect of the petitioner's land measuring 1.42.5 hectares and 3.09.5 hectares in S.F.No.734 and 735 and other lands situated at Dhyanadurgam Revenue village, Soolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District in accordance with law and within a stipulated period

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Santhosh



WEB COPY



For Respondent : Mr.R.P.Murugan Raja
Government Advocate for
R1 to R3

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for the issue of writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to consider the representation made by the petitioner on 05.10.2024 wherein the petitioner has sought for rectification of the mistake that has crept in the UDR scheme with respect to the subject property in SF Nos.734 and 735 wherein the names of respondents 4 to 18 have been included in the patta without any right.

2. Heard Mr.P.Santhosh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.R.P.Murugan Raja, learned Government Advocate for respondents 1 to 3.

3. The specific case of the petitioner is that the property in SF No.734 and 735 originally belonged to the great grandfather and Patta No.188 was issued in this regard. While so, during the UDR scheme, the names of the private respondents have been added in the patta and according to the petitioner,



they have no right over the property. In view of the same, a representation was made before the 1st respondent on 05.10.2024 for rectification of the error and since the same was not considered by the respondents, the present writ petition has been filed before this Court.

4. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the limited relief sought for in this writ petition, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to deal with the representation made by the petitioner on 05.10.2024 on its own merits and in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity to all the interested parties and a final order shall be passed within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

5. The petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the 1st respondent along with the representation dated 05.10.2024 and all the other relevant documents and also a copy of this order.



WEB COPY

6. This writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

04.02.2025

Internet : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Speaking Order / Non Speaking Order
rka



WEB COPY



N. ANAND VENKATESH, J.

rka

To

1. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
2. The Sub Collector,
Hosur – 635 109
3. The Tahsildar,
Soolagiri Taluk,
Soolagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

WP No.2703 of 2025

04.02.2025