



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.930 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.930 of 2025

J Jerman Rakesh
S/O.Justin Thiraviam, No.32, Abirami Nagar,
Vinayagapuram, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore,
Tamilnadu-641 035.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
C4, Rathinapuri Police Station, Coimbatore
District. (Crime No.349 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police
concerned in Crime No.349 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : M/s. Priyanka R.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.930 of 2024



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act and 25(1A) of Arms Act in Crime No.349 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, based on a secret information, the respondent police went to the spot and found that the accused persons were found in possession of 2.143 kgs of ganja and sickles; and that during investigation, A1 confessed that the petitioner was also involved in the aforesaid offence. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case, based on the confession of the co-accused; that the petitioner had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear



and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioner has been arrayed as A8 in this case; that the petitioner has eight previous cases; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner was implicated only on the confession of the co-accused, the contraband was seized from the co-accused, the petitioner is on bail in all the other cases and since custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of



investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate II Court, Coimbatore District** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.930 of 2025



orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.02.2025

stn

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
C4, Rathinapuri Police Station, Coimbatore District.



(Crime No.349 of 2024)

WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.930 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.930 of 2025

24.02.2025