



WEB COPY

WP No. 904 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-12-2025

**CORAM
THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE P.T. ASHA**

**WP No. 904 of 2023
and
WMP No.882 of 2023**

C.Satheesh

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Director General of Police
O/o The Director General of Police
No. 5 Kamarajar Salai Maylapore
Chennai 600 004.
2. The District Superintendent of Police
O/o The District Superintendent of Police,
Vellore Town and Taluk
Vellore District 632 012
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
O/o The deputy superintendent of Police
Katpadi Police Range Katpadi town and Taluk,
Vellore district 632 007

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: The writ petition is filed under Section 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records in respect of this order vide Na.Ka.No. A2 (2) 038786 / 2012, dated 10.08.2013 issued by the 2nd respondent herein to quash the same and to direct the 2nd respondent herein to quash the same and to direct the 2nd respondent herein to appoint the petitioner herein to any post either in police department or any other department on the basis of the petitioners representation dated 07.05.2021.



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.Dalit Tiger

For Respondent(s):

Mr.E.P.Senniyangiri, Govt. Advocate
for R.1 to R.3.**ORDER**

Challenging the rejection of his request for compassionate appointed by order of the 2nd respondent dated 10.08.2023 and seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to appoint him in any post either in the police department or other department on the basis of his representation dated 07.05.2021, the petitioner is before this Court.

2. The shorts facts are as follows:-

2.1. The petitioner's father, namely Mr. M. Chakkaravarthy, was a Sub-Inspector of Police. On 05.09.2004, while he was proceeding to the K.3, Police Station, Thiruvalam, he met with an accident. Immediately thereafter, he was taken to CMC Hospital, Vellore, for treatment, where he succumbed to his injuries on 06.09.2004.

2.2. On 06.02.2007, the petitioner's late mother, Mrs.Aneetha made a representation to the District Superintendent of Police, Vellore, the 2nd



respondent seeking compassionate appointment for the petitioner. At that point in time, the petitioner was studying in 10th standard. On 22.04.2007, the 2nd respondent had rejected the request made by the petitioner's late mother on the ground that the petitioner had not completed the minimum age of 18 years. However, the 2nd respondent offered employment to the petitioner's mother. As the petitioner's mother knew only the Marathi language and was suffering from a high level of diabetes, she requested that the employment be given to the petitioner and in this regard once again she made a representation on 30.08.2007. Thereafter, due to her prolonged illness, the petitioner's mother passed away on 08.07.2011. After her death, the petitioner is taking care of his siblings.

2.3. On 30.08.2012, after completing his 10 + 2 course at the age of 22, the petitioner had made a representation before the 2nd respondent seeking compassionate appointment. However, the request made by the petitioner has been rejected by the 2nd respondent vide proceedings dated 10.08.2013. Challenging the same, the petitioner is before this Court.

3. The 2nd respondent had filed a counter affidavit, inter alia contending that the petitioner's request was rejected in view of the



prevailing Government Order, which specifies that an application for compassionate appointment has to be made within a period of three years from the date of death of the Government servant.

4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the records.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner's mother had initially filed a representation seeking compassionate appointment for the petitioner well within the prescribed limitation period of three years. However, at that time, the petitioner was a minor. Thereafter, immediately upon attaining majority and acquiring the requisite qualifications for compassionate appointment, the petitioner submitted a representation seeking compassionate appointment.

6. Therefore, considering the fact that the initial application seeking compassionate appointment has been made well within the prescribed limitation period and taking note of the fact that the petitioner is now fully qualified for compassionate appointment, the impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 10.08.2013 is set aside. The 2nd respondent is directed to appoint the petitioner in any post either in the police



department or other department on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 07.05.2021.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands allowed. No costs.
Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

12-12-2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

SHR

To

1. The Director General of Police
O/o The Director General of Police
No. 5 Kamarajar Salai Maylapore
Chennai 600 004
2. The District Superintendent of Police
O/o The District Superintendent of Police,
Vellore Town and Taluk
Vellore District 632 012
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
O/o The deputy superintendent of Police
Katpadi Police Range Katpadi town and Taluk,
Vellore district 632 007



WEB COPY

WP No. 904 of 2



P.T.ASHA, J.

SHR

WP No. 904 of 2023

12-12-2025