



Crl.O.P.No.971 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **21-01-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 971 of 2025

1.Sekar
2.Kumar @ Vijayakumar
3.Lakshmi @ Dhanalakshmi
4.Saranya
5.Rukuammal

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vengal Police station,
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.459 of 2024.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioners:

Mr.S.Silambu Selvan

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Santhosh

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.459 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.971 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks indulgence of this court. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that, the petitioners and the defacto complainant were relatives, due to property dispute, they had a wordy quarrel with the defacto complainant's mother-in-law, and attempted to assault her, at that time, the defacto complainant's wife came for rescue, she was also been pushed down by the accused. He would submit that, it is a case of case in counter and that there is no previous cases pending against the petitioners.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.No.971 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.1, Thiruvallur**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.971 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.01.2025

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.971 of 2025

21.01.2025