



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **20-01-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 534 of 2025

1.Siva Kannappan
2.Thamizhselvan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, Inspector Of Police
B3, Kanchi Taluk Police Station, Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.4 Of 2025

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.S.V.Vijay Prashanth

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.4 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 303(II) of BNS, 2023 and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication



Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seek indulgence of this court. He would submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. He also submits that the petitioners, without prejudice to the defence and contention, are ready and willing to deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/-** as non-refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government or any organization. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that, on 03.01.2025, at about 9.30 p.m., the Special Revenue Inspector, Kancheepuram, on their regular checking of vehicle, at that time, the petitioners was illegally transporting four units of rough stones worth about Rs.5,200/- in a Ashok Leyland lorry. He would further submit that the petitioners, A-1 has no previous case and A-2 has one previous case, but not similar nature of offence.

4. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in *S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)*,



Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

5. Considering the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** as non refundable deposit to "**The District Legal Services Authority, Kancheepuram**" without prejudice to the right of defence before the Trial Court and making it clear that it would not amount to admission of guilt.

6. Further, having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to make a non-refundable deposit of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of "**The District Legal Services Authority,**



Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

Kancheepuram", without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the

trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate-II, Kancheepuram**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only), with two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

dsn

20.01.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.534 of 2025

20.01.2025