



WEB COPY



W.P.No.778 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

W.P.No.778 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.940 and 941 of 2025

N.Guganathan


... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai – 4.
2. The Additional Director General of Police,
Technical Services, Chennai-4.
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Technical Services, Chennai-4.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the second respondent in connection with the impugned order passed by him in RC No.A1/266/13622/2024 DO No.433/2024 dated 10.12.2024 and quash



W.P.No.778 of 2025

the same and restore the petitioner to continue in the Police Telecommunication Branch at Thiruvannamalai District as Sub-Inspector.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Venkaatramani,
Senior Advocate
for Mr.M.Muthappan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Sasikumar,
Government Advocate

ORDER

The instant writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 10.12.2024 passed by the second respondent.

2. The learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner would submit that on account of certain incidents, the second respondent has transferred all those who involved in the incidents to their own Department of Telecommunication Branch, the petitioner alone was repatriated to the Law and Order Department, which according to the petitioner is nothing but discrimination. It is the further submission of the learned Senior Counsel that the petitioner has given a representation dated 13.12.2024 to the first respondent, and such representation is pending with the first respondent.



W.P.No.778 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. At this juncture, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would fairly submit that they would consider the said representation of the petitioner within a reasonable time.

4. Heard the learned counsel for both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5. In view of the above submissions, without going into the merits of this writ petition, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.12.2024, and pass orders on its own merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. If the petitioner wants to send any fresh representation, he is at liberty to do so forthwith.



W.P.No.778 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. In the result, this Writ Petition is disposed of with the above observations. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. No costs.

09.07.2025

kv

Index : Yes/No

Speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

To

1. The Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai – 4.
2. The Additional Director General of Police,
Technical Services, Chennai-4.
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Technical Services, Chennai-4.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.778 of 2025

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

kv

W.P.No.778 of 2025

09.07.2025