



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.658 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 20.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.658 of 2025

Velmurugan

.... Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thirumanur Police Station,
Ariyalur.

Crime No.239 of 2024

.... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the
event of his arrest in Crime No. 239 of 2024 pending investigation on the file of
the respondent.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.Raghul S
For Respondent	:	Mr.S.Santhosh
		Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.239 of 2024 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 329(4), 351(3) of
BNS and r/w Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Public Property Prevention of Damage
Act, 1992, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in
the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. He



Crl.O.P.No.658 of 2025

further submits that the petitioner is no way connected to the offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner and other accused had destructed the CCTV and Monitor of TASMACH Bar run by the defacto complainant and they also threatened the defacto complainant. He would submit that this is the second anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner, as the earlier bail petition in Crl.O.P.No.28637 of 2024 was dismissed by this Court on 22.11.2024. He would also submit that the petitioner has eight previous cases pending against him.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

5. Considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner, and that the bad antecedents of the petitioner and the fact that there has been no



Crl.O.P.No.658 of 2025

change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

20.01.2025

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

Lpp

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Thirumanur Police Station,
Ariyalur.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

Lpp



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.658 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.658 of 2025

20.01.2025