



WEB COPY



W.P.No.511 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :09.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

**W.P. No.511 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.601 and 602 of 2025**

M/s.Golden Majestic Oil Industries
Represented by its Partner
Mr.B.Sivakumar
18/117C, Sowdambigai Nagar,
Illiampudur Road, Kangayam,
Tiruppur – 638 701.

... Petitioner

Vs.

Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Kangayam Assessment Circle
No.260-261, Tirupur Main Road,
Kangayam, Tiruppur – 638 701.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari to call for the impugned order of the
respondent passed in GSTIN/33AAJFG9220F1ZM/2017-18 dated 16.10.2023
(digitally signed on 19.10.2023) and quash the same.

For Petitioner : M/s.A.Divya

For Respondent : Mr.G.Nanmaran,
Special Government Pleader.



W.P.No.511 of 2023

ORDER

The present writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order passed by the respondent dated 16.10.2023 (digitally signed on 19.10.2023) relating to the assessment year 2017-18.

2. The petitioner is a manufacturer and dealer in edible vegetable oil and is registered under the Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017. During the relevant period of 2017-18, the petitioner filed its returns and paid the appropriate taxes. While so, the petitioner's place of business was visited by the State Tax Officer, Inspection-VI, Erode Division on 05.05.2020. During the course of inspection, it was noticed that the petitioner had allegedly availed Input Tax Credit on the strength of the fake invoices without supply of goods by a fictitious supplier viz., Tvl.Kaviya Coco Industries.

2.1. Pursuant thereto, a manual notice was issued on 18.06.2021, followed by a notice in DRC-01A on 01.04.2022 and DRC-01 on 10.06.2022. Personal hearing was offered on 31.01.2023. In response, the petitioner had filed its reply on 24.06.2021 and attended the personal hearing. However, reply has been rejected on the premise that the petitioner had failed to produce any supporting documents regarding actual movement of goods. Hence, the impugned order came to be passed, confirming the proposal.



WEB COPY

3. The impugned order is challenged on the premise that neither the show cause notices nor the impugned order of assessment has been served on the petitioner by tender or sending it by RPAD, instead it had been uploaded under View Additional Notices column in the GST Portal, thereby, the petitioner was unaware of the initiated proceedings and was thus unable to participate in the adjudication proceedings.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the petitioner is provided with an opportunity, they would be able to explain the alleged discrepancies. The learned counsel for the petitioner would then place reliance upon the recent judgment of this Court in the case of ***M/s.K.Balakrishnan, Balu Cables vs. O/o. the Assistant Commissioner of GST & Central Excise in W.P.(MD)No.11924 of 2024 dated 10.06.2024***, to submit that this court has remanded the matter back in similar circumstances subject to payment of 25% of the disputed taxes. It was further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax and that they may be granted one final opportunity before the adjudicating authority to put forth their objections to the proposal, to which the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent does not have any serious

objection.



W.P.No.511 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. By consent of both parties, the writ petition stands disposed of on the following terms:

a) The impugned order dated 16.10.2023 (digitally signed on 19.10.2023) is set aside.

b) The petitioner shall deposit 25% of the disputed taxes as admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

c) If any amount has been recovered or paid out of the disputed taxes, including by way of pre-deposit in appeal, the same would be reduced/adjusted, from/towards the 25% of disputed taxes directed to be paid. The assessing authority shall then intimate the balance amount out of 25 % of disputed taxes to be paid, if any, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner shall deposit such remaining sum within a period of three weeks from such intimation.

d) The entire exercise of verification of payment, if any, intimation of the balance sums, if any, to be paid for compliance with the direction of payment of 25% of the disputed taxes, after deducting the sums already paid and payment by the petitioner of the balance amount, if any, on intimation in compliance with the above direction shall be completed within a period of



W.P.No.511 of 20__

four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

e) Failure to comply with the above condition viz., payment of 25% of disputed taxes within the stipulated period i.e., four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order shall result in restoration of the impugned order.

f) If there is any recovery by way of attachment of Bank account or garnishee proceedings, the same shall be lifted /withdrawn on complying with the above condition viz., payment of 25 % of the disputed taxes.

g) On complying with the above condition, the impugned order of assessment shall be treated as show cause notice and the petitioner shall submit its objections within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order along with supporting documents/material. If any such objections are filed, the same shall be considered by the respondent and orders shall be passed in accordance with law after affording a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It is made clear that if the above conditions viz., 25% of disputed taxes is not complied or objections are not filed within the stipulated period, four weeks respectively from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the impugned order of assessment shall stand restored.



W.P.No.511 of 2024

6. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

09.01.2025

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order

Index : Yes/ No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

shk



W.P.No.511 of 20__

To:

WEB COPY

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Kangeyam Assessment Circle
No.260-261, Tirupur Main Road,
Kangeyam, Tiruppur – 638 701.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.511 of 2025

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

shk

**W.P. No.511 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.601 and 602 of 2025**

**09.01.2025
(2/5)**