



WP.No.768 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 10.01.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

W.P.No.768 of 2025

- 1.R.Anbarasan
- 2.Rajendiran
- 3.S.Pannerselvam
- 4.N.Anbarasan
- 5.J.Buvaneshwari
- 6.V.Periyannasamy
- 7.Punitha
- 8.Ravichandhiran
- 9.Rajammal R.
- 10.T.Ilavarasan
- 11.Mohanraj.L
- 12.Arthi.G
- 13.Poornima.A
- 14.G.Ilamathi
- 15.A.Geetha
- 16.R.Karthikeyan
- 17.T.Naveen Kumar
- 18.S.Govindan
- 19.Jayalakshmi
- 20.M.Manimegalai
- 21.M.Dhivyanarasimman



WP.No.768 of 2025

WEB

22.R.Logarajeswari

23.V.Nivendhan

24.S.Ragul

25.A.Dilipkumar

26.P.Pagalavann

27.J.Jayaraman

28.R.Prabakaran

29.P.Madan Kumar

30.K.Vijayalakshmi

31.P.Balasubramani

32.K.Komathyarasy

33.S.Stalin

34.M.Nithiya

35.G.Vignesh

36.R.Pooja

37.I.Vijay Arokiaraj

38.R.Ragul

39.R.Asswini

...Petitioners

Vs.

1.Union of India, rep.by
The Secretary to Government-cum-
Controller of Examination,
Department of Personnel & Administrative
Reforms (Personnel Wing)
Chief Secretariat, Puducherry.

2.The Under Secretary to Government,
Exam Cell,
Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms,
Chief Secretariat, Puducherry.

3.The Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
V.V.P.Nagar, Thattanchavady,



WP.No.768 of 2025

Puducherry – 605 009.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the Central Administrative Tribunal at Chennai Bench to expeditiously dispose the O.A.No.1906 of 2024.

For Petitioner	: Mr.M.Gnanasekar
For Respondents	
(For R1 to R3)	: Mr.R.Syed Mustafa
	Special Government Pleader (Puducherry)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *S.M.SUBRAMANIAM , J.*)

The writ on hand has been instituted to direct the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chennai Bench to dispose of O.A.No.1906 of 2024 expeditiously.

2. Mr.M.Gnanasekar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner would contend that the Original Application was filed, challenging the select list for appointment to the Post of Junior Inspector of Co-operative Societies in Puducherry. Interim order was declined and thus, the petitioners have chosen to file the present writ petition, seeking speedy disposal of the original application.

3. Question arises, whether High Court in exercise of the powers of Judicial



WP.No.768 of 2025

Review under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, issue a direction to the

WEB TRIBUNAL or the District Courts to dispose of the cases in a time bound manner. It is for the Courts to decide the matters to be listed on Board and to dispose of accordingly. High Court, issuing frequent directions to dispose of the cases, would cause inconvenience not only to the Judges, who are Presiding over, but also to the litigants, who all are waiting for disposal of their respective cases for long years.

4. The practice of issuing a direction to the Tribunals and District Courts to dispose of the cases in a time bound manner, at no circumstances, be granted unnecessarily and only in exceptional circumstances, High Court may request the Courts to dispose of the matter.

5. The Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of ***High Court Bar Association, Allahabad Vs. state of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.***, held that '*in the ordinary course, the Constitutional Courts should refrain from fixing a time-bound schedule for the disposal of cases pending before any other Courts*'. Paragraph 47.3 reads thus:



WP.No.768 of 2025

WEB COPY

“47.3. Constitutional Courts, in the ordinary course, should refrain from fixing a time-bound schedule for the disposal of cases pending before any other courts. Constitutional Courts may issue direction for the time-bound disposal of cases only in exceptional circumstances. The issue of prioritising the disposal of cases should be best left to the decision of the courts concerned where the cases are pending.”

6. The said judgment was relied on by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of ***Sangram Sadashiv Suryavanshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra in Criminal Appeal No (s).4758 of 2024 dated 25.11.2024*** and the Apex Court also held that a direction, which can be issued in exceptional circumstances, cannot be issued in a routine manner without noticing the law laid down by the Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

7. In view of the above legal position, we have irresistibly arrived at a conclusion that the relief as such sought for cannot be considered.



WP.No.768 of 2025

WEB COPY 8. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[S.M.S., J.]

[M.J.R., J.]

10.01.2025

(2/2)

Index : Yes/No
Speaking Order : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
kak

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government-cum-
Controller of Examination,
Union of India
Department of Personnel & Administrative
Reforms (Personnel Wing)
Chief Secretariat, Puducherry.
- 2.The Under Secretary to Government,
Exam Cell,
Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms,
Chief Secretariat, Puducherry.
- 3.The Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
V.V.P.Nagar, Thattanchavady,
Puducherry – 605 009.



WEB COPY



WP.No.768 of 2025

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

kak

W.P.No.768 of 2025
(2/2)

10.01.2025