



CRL OP NO. 191 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 191 of 2025

JAYAMURUGAN

Son of Arikrishnan, 577, Arundhathi Street, Kamaraj Nagar,
Paramananthal, Tiruvannamalai 606 710.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.By

Sub Inspector of Police Chengam Police Station, Tiruvannamalai.
Crime No. 442/2024

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

S Panneer Selvam

For Respondent(s):


S.Santhosh

Government Advocate (criminal Side).

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.442 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2.Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the case, learned



counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this court. He would submit that

is the driver of the vehicle and the owner of the vehicle viz., Rajendiran had filed a petition in

Crl.O.P.No.21423 of 2024 seeking Anticipatory Bail before this Court and this Court vide

WEB COPY

order dated 05.09.2024 ordered anticipatory bail to the owner of the vehicle. He would

further submit that he is no way connected to this case and he is ready to abide by any

stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that he has

one previous case against him and without prejudice to his contentions, he is ready to deposit

a considerable amount to any Charitable Institution.

3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal

Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the

petitioner caught red handed while transporting 1 unit of Red Sand in the Tractor bearing

Engine No.1PY5045DKR0083893), without obtaining valid permit / License. He would


further submit that there is no previous case as against the petitioner.

4.Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, and the learned Government Advocate

(Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this

court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

5.In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand



mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in *S.Mohd. Abdul*

Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029


of 2020 dated 11.12.2020), while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made

WEB COPY

by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the sand involved is small quantity and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioner offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may be directed to make a non-refundable deposit of a sum of **Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) to the credit of "The District Legal Services Authority, Thiruvannamalai District"**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

7. It is made clear that merely because the petitioner is depositing the amount, it would not



amount to the petitioner admitting his guilt in the criminal case and such amount paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioner.

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the "**The District Legal Services Authority, Thiruvannamalai District**", and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Chengam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only), with two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar Card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

*[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;*

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

08-01-2025

ah

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Chengam.

2.The Sub Inspector of Police
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai.
Crime No. 442/2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

ah



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO. 191 of 2025

08.01.2025