



CRL OP NO. 314 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 314 of 2025

C.Srinivasan

petitioner(s)

Vs

The Inspector Of Police
Arambakkam Police Station,
District. tiruvallur

Respondent(s)

For petitioner(s):

Bharanidharan S

For Respondent(s):

S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (criminal Side).

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.526 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of BNS, 2023, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2.Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this court. He would submit that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that he has no previous case against him and without prejudice to his contentions, he is ready to deposit a considerable amount to any Charitable Institution.



3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner was carrying 1/2 unit of river sand in his tractor bearing Registration No.TN 20 AF 1811, without obtaining valid permit / License. He would further submit that there is no previous case as against the petitioner.

4.Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

5.In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020), while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

6.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the



sand involved is small quantity and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioner offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may be directed to make a non-refundable deposit of a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit of "The District Legal Services Authority, Thiruvallur District", without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

7.It is made clear that merely because the petitioner is depositing the amount, it would not amount to the petitioner admitting his guilt in the criminal case and such amount is being paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioner.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the "The District Legal Services Authority, Thiruvallur District", and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Gummidipoondi, on condition that the

petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent



police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the

Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar Card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

09-01-2025

ah

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Gummidipoondi.

2.The Inspector of Police
Arambakkam Police Station
Tiruvallur District.



3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

ah

CRL OP NO.314 of 2025



WEB COPY

