



WEB COPY



Crl.MP.No.1009 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.07.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.M.P.No.1009 of 2025

in

Crl.A.No.98 of 2025

Ravi

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
South All Women Police Station,
Tiruppur South,
Tiruppur
(crime No.17 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 430(1) of BNSS, praying to suspend the sentence imposed by the order passed against the petitioner in Special SC.No.174 of 2022 dated 23.08.2023 on the file of the Magalir Neethimandram (FTMC), Tiruppur and to enlarge the petitioner on bail, pending disposal of the above criminal appeal petition on the file of this Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.MP.No.1009 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Pandiyan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Raja Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed praying to suspend the sentence imposed by the order passed against the petitioner in Special SC.No.174 of 2022 dated 23.08.2023 on the file of the Magalir Neethimandram (FTMC), Tiruppur and to enlarge the petitioner on bail, pending disposal of the above criminal appeal petition on the file of this Court.

2. The petitioner herein is the accused in Special SC.No.174 of 2022 on the file of the Magalir Neethimandram (FTMC), Tiruppur. He was found guilty of the offences under Sections 5(l), 5(n) c, 6 of POCSO Act and he has been convicted and sentenced as under:

Rank	Conviction	Sentence
Sole accu- sed	Sections 5(l), 5(n) c, 6 of POCSO Act	to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 20 years and to pay fine of Rs.3,000/-, in default to undergo six months



Crl.MP.No.1009 of 2025

Rank	Conviction	Sentence
		rigorous imprisonment

Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner has preferred criminal appeal along with this miscellaneous petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that there are arguable points available in the Criminal appeal and the petitioner/accused has got a fair chance of succeeding in the Criminal appeal and hence, the substantive sentence imposed against the petitioner/accused may be suspended. He would submit that the petitioner is ready to abide the condition imposed by this Court.

4. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/State raised serious objection for allowing this petition. Further, he would contend that the evidences recorded from the prosecution witnesses would prove the case of the prosecution beyond reasonable doubt. According to him, interference of this Court is not necessary in the findings arrived at by the trial Court.



Crl.MP.No.1009 of 2025

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and also

perused the materials placed on record.

6. On perusal of records, this Court finds no reasons to suspend the sentence imposed on the petitioner. Further, the Trial Court has gone through the evidence in its entirety and convicted the petitioner. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to suspend the sentence of imprisonment imposed on the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

16.07.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok



Crl.MP.No.1009 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Magalir Neethimandram (FTMC), Tiruppur
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
South All Women Police Station,
Tiruppur South,
Tiruppur
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.MP.No.1009 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok

Crl.M.P.No.1009 of 2025
in
Crl.A.No.98 of 2025

16.07.2025