



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 115 of 2025

1. VENGADESAN
2. ANNAMALAI
3. SURYA

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. By, The Inspector Of Police
Samalpatti Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Santhosh

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.179 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sections 21(1) and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seek indulgence of this court. He



Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

would submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. He also submits that the petitioners, without prejudice to the defence and contention, are ready and willing to deposit a sum of **Rs.15,000/-** as non-refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government or any organization. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that, on 20.12.2024, based on the secret information, the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and found that the petitioners are illegally transporting one unit each of river sand in a tipper lorry.

4. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in *S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)*, while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and



Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

the enforcers as well in an organised manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

5. Considering the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** as non refundable deposit to "**The District Legal Services Authority, Krishnagiri**" without prejudice to the right of defence before the Trial Court and making it clear that it would not amount to admission of guilt.

6. Further, having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to make a non-refundable deposit of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of "**The District Legal Services Authority, Krishnagiri**", without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioners are ordered to be



Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthangarai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only), with two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

dsn

20.01.2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.115 of 2025

20.01.2025