



A.S.No.23 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

A.S.No.23 of 2013

1. P.Zeabal
2. P.Kamaradjou
3. P.Elangovan
4. P.Chinnadurai
5. P.Singaravelou
6. S.Vadivambal
7. T.Arumugam @ Sridar
- 8.R.Krishnan
- 9.P.Karumbal

...Appellants

Vs.

- 1.Gandhimathi
2. M.Tamizselvi
- 3.S.Govindammal (Exparte)
- 4.M.Parameswari
5. R.Manjula
- 6.R.Gowri
- 7.R.Muthukumaran
- 8.R.Vinoth
- 9.R.Usha
- 10.R.Suganthi
- 11.R.Prabavathy
- 12.R.Narasimman
- 13.P.Vaithianathan
- 14.P.Karthikesan
- 15.P.Karthiga

...Respondents



A.S.No.23 of 2013

WEB COPY

PRAYER: Appeal Suit filed under Section 96 of Civil Procedure Code, praying to set aside the preliminary decree and judgment passed in OS.No.53 of 2010 dated 12.01.2012 on the file of District Judge, Karaikal.

For Appellants	:No appearance
For respondent 1	: Mr.R.Thirugnanam
For Respondent 2, 4,9 12, 13, 15	: Served
For Respondents 3, 5 to 8, 14	: Exparte
For respondent 10	: Vacated
For respondent 11	: Died

ORDER

When the appeal was taken up for hearing on 23.09.2025, the learned counsel for the appellants reported no instructions. Recording the same, the registry was directed to remove the names of the counsel, who entered appearance for the appellants and to list the matter by printing the names of the appellants under the caption “For dismissal”.



A.S.No.23 of 2013

WEB COPY

2. Today, the appeal is listed under the caption “for dismissal” by printing the names of the appellants in the cause list. There is no representation for the appellants. Hence, the appeal suit is dismissed for default. No costs.

24.09.2025

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No
nr

To

The learned District Judge, Karaikal.

S.SOUNTHAR, J.



WEB COPY



A.S.No.23 of 2013

nr

A.S.No.23 of 2013

24.09.2025