



CRL OP NO. 93 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 93 of 2025

VINOTH

S/o. Murali, K.V.Puram, Vijayapuram Taluk, Chithoor District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.By Its, Sub Inspector Of Police,
PEW R.K.Pet, Thiruvallur District. Cr.No.367 of 2024.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

M Rajinikanth
G. Thamizhazhagan

For Respondent(s):

S.Santhosh
Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court.

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.367 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(C) read with Section 4(1)(A) of TNP (Amendment) Act, 2024, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioner and false implication in the case, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks indulgence of this Court. He also submits that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and the



petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed
court.



3. The case of the prosecution as put forth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail, is that the petitioner/A3 along with other accused accused were found to be in illegal possession of 5 liters of illicit arrack and 200 liters of fermented wash. He further submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, and taking note of the fact that there is no previous case against the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruttani, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the



learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the Petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the Petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

20-01-2025

msv

To
The Sub Inspector Of Police,
PEW R.K.Pet,
Thiruvallur District.