



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BECHU KURIAN THOMAS

THURSDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF APRIL 2025 / 13TH CHAITHRA, 1947

WP(C) NO. 13401 OF 2025

PETITIONER :

M.C.ABDULRAHIMAN
AGED 50 YEARS
S/O. M.C.MUHAMMED, MANNARCHOLA HOUSE,
CHEEKODE.P.O., MALAPPURAM DISTRICT,
PIN - 673645

BY ADVS.
U.K.DEVIDAS
S.K.SREELAKSHMY

RESPONDENTS :

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
PIN - 695 001
- 2 DISTRICT COLLECTOR
MALAPPURAM, COLLECTORATE, CIVIL STATION,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 505
- 3 REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER
REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE, TIRUR TIRUR P.O.,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 676 101



2025:KER:28701

4 TAHSILDAR
 TALUK OFFICE, KONDOTTY, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.,
 PIN - 676 638

5 VILLAGE OFFICER
 CHEEKODE VILLAGE, OMANOOR P.O.
 MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 673 645

BY SMT.JASMIN M.M., GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03.04.2025, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:



BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, J.
=====

W.P.(C) No.13401 of 2025
=====

Dated this the 3rd day of April, 2025

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges an order issued under the Kerala Building Tax Act, 1975 (for short, 'the Act'). Initial order of assessment was challenged by the petitioner before the Revenue Divisional Officer and as per Ext.P4 order dated 29.02.2016, the appeal was dismissed. Subsequently, petitioner approached this Court in W.P.(C) No.12038 of 2017 which was disposed of by judgment dated 28.02.2022, giving an opportunity to the petitioner to prefer a revision before the District Collector. The revision petition filed before the 2nd respondent, subsequently was dismissed as per Ext.P7. Petitioner has challenged the aforesaid orders in this writ petition.

2. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader, I am of the view that since under Section 14 of the Act, a power of revision is vested with the Government, which can be exercised pursuant to an application by a person aggrieved, petitioner ought to pursue the said remedy, especially in the light of the contention which primarily revolves around disputes facts.

3. In view of the above, this writ petition is dismissed reserving the liberty of the petitioner to pursue the remedy under Section 14 of the Act.

Sd/-
BECHU KURIAN THOMAS,
JUDGE

RKM



APPENDIX OF WP(C) 13401/2025

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DATED 20.03.2017 ISSUED BY THE CHEEKODE GRAMA PANCHAYATH WITH ITS TYPED COPY
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 01.12.2014 PASSED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT ORDER DATED 01.12.2014 PASSED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 29.02.2016 PASSED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 28.02.2022 IN W.P.(C) NO.12038 OF 2017 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT
Exhibit P6	TRUE COPY OF THE MEMORANDUM OF REVISION DATED 02.04.2022 WITHOUT ANNEXURES
Exhibit P7	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.DCMPM/5074/2022-D8 DATED 11.02.2025 PASSED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT
Exhibit P8	TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 28.02.2025 SEND BY THE FOURTH RESPONDENT TO FIFTH RESPONDENT