

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE M.B. SNEHALATHA

THURSDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH 2025 / 6TH CHAITHRA, 1947

WP(CRL.) NO. 373 OF 2025

PETITIONER:

RAKESH P MENON, AGED 46 YEARS
S/O P.G.PRABHAKARAN, PUTHENVEETIL, JRRA 179, MARADU
P.O., ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 682304

MANOJ RAMASWAMY
JOLIMA GEORGE
C.B.SABEELA
APARNA G.
SHYLAKUMARI C.C.
MUHAMMED HAROON THAMEEM
NAMITHA BABU

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF, O/O DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT, PIN - 689645
 - 2 THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER
KODUMON POLICE STATION, EZHAMKULAM KAIPATTOOR ROAD,
KODUMON, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT., PIN - 691555
 - 3 THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER
MARADU POLICE STATION, P A ULLAHAN ROAD, NEAR GOVT.
VHSS, MARADU, KOCHI, ERNAKULAM, PIN - 682304
 - 4 ARUN M.H., S/O HARIDAS, GIRISH BHAVAN, ERUTHUVAKUNU,
KODUMON EAST P.O., PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT - 691555
- SRI P M SHAMEER-GP

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
27.03.2025, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**JUDGMENT****Devan Ramachandran, J.**

The petitioner alleges that his 18-year-old daughter is illegally detained by the fourth respondent, with the assistance of his parents.

2. Noticing the assertions in this writ petition, we had issued notice to the fourth respondent through special messenger; and in response to the same, he and his parents were present before us today, as also the petitioner, his wife and the alleged detainee.

3. We interacted with the alleged detainee, who told us that she intends to marry the fourth respondent and that she does not want to return to her parents.

4. Rather taken up by the strong attitude adopted by the alleged detainee, we permitted the petitioner and his wife - namely her parents, to interact with her for some time within the confines of the Court premises. However, even after such, the alleged detainee stuck to our position that she does not want to go with her parents.



5. The parents of the fourth respondent, however, conceded before us that their son is not 21 years old yet; and added that the alleged detenue is insisting that she wants to stay with them. They undertook before us that they will take full responsibility for the alleged detenue and that it is their intent to finally have them married.

6. It is thus obvious that nothing remains for us to intervene in this writ petition.

This writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.

Sd/- DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN
JUDGE

Sd/- M.B. SNEHALATHA
JUDGE



2025:KER:26255

WP(CRL.) NO. 373 OF 2025

APPENDIX OF WP(CRL.) 373/2025

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

- | | |
|----------------|---|
| Exhibit P1 | TRUE COPY OF THE IDENTITY PROOF OF THE
DETENUE IN THE AADHAAR |
| Exhibit P1 (a) | TRUE COPY OF THE STUDENT CONCESSION CARD
(PRIVATE BUS) OF THE DETENUE |
| Exhibit P2 | TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 15.03.2025
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND
RESPONDENT |