IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 20758/2025
Decided on: 31.12.2025

Rakesh Kumar & Ors. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners:	**Mr. Surender K. Sharma, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J.

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representation dated 02.11.2025 (Annexure P-3), seeking applicability of ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners, in accordance with

---

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

[2] CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024

law, within a time bound manner. Learned Deputy Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners, in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Mohit Sharma*[2], within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioners. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

31st December, 2025(rohit)