IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.20444 of 2025 and connected matters
Decided on: 24.12. 2025

---

1. CWP No. 20444 of 2025

Manju Rani and Anr **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No. 20448 of 2025

Usha Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No. 20446 of 2025

Prakash Chand and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No. 20458 of 2025

Dev Raj and Anr **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (oral)

Notice. Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, learned Assistant Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the petitions.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of judgment rendered in ***CWP No. 4830 of 2023*** titled ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors,*** decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025, have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in

---

[1]**Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Assistant Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Inder Singh Thakur*** within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(**Sushil Kukreja)**
Judge

December 24, 2025
R.Atal