IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.20357 of 2025

Date of Decision: 24.12.2025

Gurbachan Singh & another .…….Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & another … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Sourav Sharma & Mr. Rocky, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Ravi Chauhan and Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel representing the petitioners, on instructions, states that the petitioners' case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 21.03.2024 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2056 of 2023, titled **Ghanshyam Dass and others vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others alongwith connected matters,** and as such, they would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners in light of aforesaid judgment, in a time bound manner.

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2. Mr. Vishal Panwar learned Additional Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of ***Ghanshyam Dass*** *case* ***(supra)***. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if they still remain aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

December 24, 2025
(shankar)