IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 20512 of 2025

Date of decision: 29.12.2025

Pinka Devi & others. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & others. **…Respondents.**

Coram
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Romesh Verma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners. ***Mr.Yogesh Kumar Chandel, Advocate.***

For the Respondents: ***Mr.Anup Rattan, Advocate General, with Mr.Ramakant Sharma, Additional Advocate General.***

Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge *(Oral)*

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners would be satisfied in case representation dated 23.11.2025 (Annexure P-7) is directed to be considered by the competent Authority, i.e. Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh in a time bound manner by reserving the liberty to file a fresh Writ Petition on the same cause of action with same prayer, if grievance of the petitioners is not redressed by

[1] ***Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?***

the decision to be taken by the competent authority in light of judgment dated 29.11.2025 passed in *CWP No. 1638 of 2024,* titled as *Mohit Sharma & Another Vs. State of H.P. & others* (Annexure P-5) and judgment dated 11.8.2025, passed in *CWP No. 12954 of 2025,* titled as *Deepika Kumari & others Vs. State of H.P. & others* (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned Advocate General has communicated no objection for allowing the prayer made hereinabove on behalf of petitioners with submission that competent authority shall decide the representation in accordance with law within period specified by the Court.

3. In view of above, Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh is directed to consider the representation dated 23.11.2025 (Annexure P-7), submitted by the petitioners and decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order in the light of judgments referred supra and also after giving opportunity of being hearing to the petitioners, if desired so, on or before **15th February, 2026**.

4. To avoid delay, petitioners are also directed to submit a copy of representation afresh alongwith downloaded copy of this order, either themselves or through their counsel to Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh.

5. Needless to say in case any grievance of the petitioners still survive to be adjudicated, the petitioners shall be at liberty to file fresh petition, as prayed.

The petition stands disposed of alongwith pending application(s), if any, in aforesaid terms.

(Vivek Singh Thakur),
Judge.

(Romesh Verma),
Judge.

29th December, 2025
(Keshav)