IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.20639 of 2025
Date of Decision: 30.12.2025

Jeet Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?
For the Petitioner: Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, could be called for from the respondents, learned counsel representing the petitioner, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment passed by Coordinate Bench this Court in *CWP No. 1638 of 2024* titled ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.,*** states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by Coordinate Bench this Court in ***Mohit Sharma*** (*supra*) and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-4) in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that he is

not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner ***(Annexure P-4)*** in light of ***Mohit Sharma*** *(supra)*, expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

December 30, 2025 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**