IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2693 of 2025
Date of Decision: 30.12.2025

Dinesh Kumar .....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Others .....**Respondents**

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for State.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of instant execution petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for execution and implementation of judgment dated 17.07.2025, passed by this Court in CWP No.11037 of 2025, whereby this Court passed the following order:

> "3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation dated 15.05.2025 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of Inder Singh case (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if he still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of."

2. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

3. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while putting in appearance on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of four weeks from today.

4. Consequently, in view of fair stand adopted by the learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment sought to be executed, within a period of four weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards the implementation of the order/judgment.

December 30, 2025 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(Rajeev Raturi) **Judge**