IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17161 of 2025
Decided on: 04.11. 2025

Bheem Raj **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Tarun K. Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation Annexure P-2, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] has not been decided till

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Inder Singh Thakur***[2] within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 4, 2025
R.Atal