IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 16986/2025
Decided on: 31.10.2025

Manoj Kumar and others …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & others ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners:	**Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. L.N.Sharma, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. L.N.Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners grievance is that their representation (Annexure P-4) seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content, in case, respondents/ competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners, in accordance with law, within a time

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

[2]CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided on 07.04.2025

bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioners. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

31st October, 2025(yogesh)