IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 16803/2025
Decided on: 30.10.2025

Raj Kumar …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:	**Ms. Anuja Mehta, Advocate, vice counsel.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated 01.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) seeking applicability of ***Madan Lal Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Anr.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law,

---

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

[2]CWP No. 3341 of 2019, decided on 04.09.2021

within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Madan Lal Sharma*[2], within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

30th October, 2025(rohit)