IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16722 of 2025
Decided on: 29.10. 2025

Ravi Kant Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Kush Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation, Annexure P-4 seeking applicability of ***Yashwant Kumar Vs. State of HP and Ors***[2] has not been decided till date by

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 8148 of 2022, decided alongwith connected matters on 21.03.2024

the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar***[2] within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 29, 2025
R.Atal