( 2025:HHC:35632 )

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No.16742 of 2025**

**Decided on: 28th October, 2025**

---

Sunil Kumar and another **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

**Coram**

**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua**

**Whether approved for reporting?[1]**

For the Petitioners: Ms. Vandana Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Mr. Vishwadeep Sharma, Additional Advocates General and Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

---

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge**

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

**2.** With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

**3.** Petitioners seek applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***[2]. It is, however, seen from the record that the petitioners have not preferred any representation for the redressal of their grievances raised in the writ petition.

---

[1]Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

[2]CWP No.4830 of 2023, decided along with connected matter on 07.04.2025

( 2025:HHC:35632 )

**4.** Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be preferring a representation(s) within two weeks from today. In case such a representation(s) is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 28, 2025
*yogesh*