IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17081 of 2025
Decided on: 03.11. 2025

Arvind Kumar and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Ms. Tim Saran Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, Annexure P-3 (colly), seeking applicability of ***Yashwant Kumar Vs. State of HP and Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 8148 of 2022, decided alongwith connected matters on 21.03.2024

authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar***[2] within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 03, 2025
R.Atal