IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16315 of 2025
Date of Decision: 17.10.2025

Shalima

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. M.A.Safee, Mr.Pranav Kaushal and Mr. Sameer Miyan, Advocates.

For the respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, could be called for from the respondents, learned counsel representing the petitioner, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment passed by Coordinate Bench this Court in *CWP No. 1638 of 2024* titled ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.,*** states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by Coordinate Bench this Court in ***Mohit Sharma*** (*supra*) and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider representation of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner ***(Annexure P-5)*** in light of ***Mohit Sharma*** *(supra)*, expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

October 17, 2025 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**