IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 16416/2025
Decided on: 17.10.2025

Pritti Kumari …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:	**Mr. Bhim Raj Sharma, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant Advocate General, for respondents No.1 & 2.**
	Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.3 & 4.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Learned Assistant Advocate General and Mr. Prashant Sharma, learned counsel, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and 3 & 4, respectively.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation dated 14.08.2025 (Annexure P-7) seeking applicability of ***Mohit Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case,

---

1 *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

2 CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024

respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Mohit Sharma*[2], within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

17th October, 2025(rohit)