IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16412 of 2025

Decided on: 17th October, 2025

Vikas and others **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. L.N.Sharma, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. L.N.Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their representation (Annexure P-2) seeking applicability of ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners

[1] Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

[2] CWP No.1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024

would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Mohit Sharma***[2] within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 17, 2025
yogesh