IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 16240/2025
Decided on: 15.10.2025

Sunita Devi …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:	**Mr. Pawan K. Sharma, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General and Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation dated 24.08.2025 (Annexure P-4), seeking applicability of ***Yashwant Kumar Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

[2] CWP No. 8148 of 2022, decided a/w connected matters on 21.03.2024

the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a time bound manner. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Yashwant Kumar*[2], within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

15th October, 2025(yogesh)