IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16207 of 2025
Date of Decision: 15.10.2025

Smt. Salochna Devi .…….Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and Others ….Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner: Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for State.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge (oral):

Through instant petition, petitioner seeks benefit of the decision rendered in judgment passed in CWP No.2274 of 2024 titled as ***Satya Devi Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.*** decided on 28.05.2024.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General states that since judgment passed in Satya Devi's case has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.20496 of 2024, relief, as prayed for, in the instant petition cannot be allowed. Copy of an order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 24.03.2025 in several connected Special Leave Petitions, with lead case being Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.11306 of 2025 titled as ***State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors. Vs. Inder Pal***, has been made available to this Court during proceedings of the case and same reads as under:-

---

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

"(1) Delay condoned.
(2) Let the notice be issued to the respondents(s) in all the matters.
(3) Tag alongwith SPL(C) No. 20496 of 2024
(4) In the meantime the operation of the impugned order(s), is/are stayed."

3. Since it is quite apparent from the aforesaid order that mandate contained in the judgment passed in Satya Devi's case along with connected matters has been stayed, prayer made in the instant petition cannot be allowed, but with the consent of parties, petition at hand is disposed of with a direction that benefits, if any, flowing to the petitioner under Satya Devi's case, shall abide by the outcome of the Inder Pal's case instituted by the respondents against the common judgment rendered in Satya Devi's case. Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

October 15, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)