IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Ex. Pet. No.2053 of 2025 alongwith connected matters

Decided on: 31st October, 2025

---

1. Ex. Pet. No.2053 of 2025

Dawa **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

2. Ex. Pet. No.2054 of 2025

Smt.Kamli **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

3. Ex. Pet. No.2055 of 2025

Smt.Chheba **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

4. Ex. Pet. No.2057 of 2025

Smt. Sanjay Maya **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

5. Ex. Pet. No.2058 of 2025

Smt. Sonu **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

6. Ex. Pet. No.2060 of 2025

Smt. Kali Maya **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

7. Ex. Pet. No.2061 of 2025

Gian Bahadur **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

8. Ex. Pet. No.2063 of 2025

Smt.Pembi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

9. Ex. Pet. No.2064 of 2025

Smt. Saili **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

---

10. Ex. Pet. No.2065 of 2025

Dil Bahadur **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. L.N Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record copies of separate office orders passed by the competent authority of the respondent-Public Works Department, conferring work charge status upon the respective petitioners retrospectively on notional basis on completion of their 08 years of daily wage service. Learned Additional Advocate General submits that wherever pay fixation has not been done by the respondents, the same shall also be carried out within four weeks from today based upon the aforesaid office orders.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that in view of the office orders now passed by the

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

respondents, the present execution petitions be closed, however, liberty be reserved to the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy for the redressal of their surviving grievances, if any, in accordance with law.

In view of the office orders placed on record by the respondents and the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s), the present execution petitions are disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 31, 2025
R.Atal