IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No. 168 of 2025

Date of decision : 23.9.2025.

Sham Kumar Chandla ...Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. & others ...Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Davinder Chauhan Jaita, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Addl. A.G., for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Satyen Vaidya, Judge (Oral)

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 and respondent No.5 respectively.

2. This execution petition has been filed for execution of order dated 20.4.2024, passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, whereby, the respondents were directed to consider the case of the petitioner in light of judgment dated 28.5.2014, rendered in CWP No. 1102 of 2011, titled as, Sanjay & others vs. State of H.P. & others along with connected matters.

---

[1] **Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**

3. Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record a copy of order dated 2.9.2025, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (C) Nos. 27726-27729 of 2024, titled as, State of H.P. & others vs. Sanjay Kumar, wherein by way of interim arrangement, a direction has been issued that contempt proceedings passed on the order impugned shall not be proceeded further.

4. The order impugned in the aforesaid SLPs is the one on the basis of which, the order under execution has been passed.

5. Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the execution petition at this stage with liberty to file afresh at appropriate stage.

6. Prayer being innocuous is allowed. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23rd September, 2025.
(kck)