IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.12771 of 2025

Decided on: 07.08.2025

Mehar Lal **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rajhan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a representation dated 10.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 for the redressal of his grievances raised in this writ petition. Petitioner's grievance

---

[1]**Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

is that the representation preferred by him for redressal of his grievances in light of settled legal position has not been adjudicated till date. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner would be content in case respondent No.2/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

August 7, 2025
R.Atal