**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 3146/2019
Decided on: 27.10.2025**

Bhagat Ram …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:	**Mr. Pawan K. Sharma, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant Advocate General.**

***Jyotsna Rewal Dua* , J**

This writ petition has been filed for the grant of following substantive relief*: -*

> *"That the present writ petitioner may kindly be allowed with cost and the respondents may be directed regularize the service of the petitioner w.e.f. from 01.01.2002, when the applicant have completed 8 years of his service with all consequential benefits with upto date interest, such as; seniority, arrears of pay, pension, promotion etc., as has been done by the respondent department in case of similarly situated person."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is under instructions not to press the prayer for regularization of

---

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

petitioner's service w.e.f. 01.01.2002 i.e. when the petitioner had asserted to have completed eight years of service with consequential benefits. Learned counsel, however, further submitted that the petitioner be permitted to press this petition for conferment of work charge status, in accordance with law laid down in ***The State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors. Versus Surajmani & Anr.***[2]

This matter has accordingly been heard qua petitioner's prayer for conferment of work charge status upon him and the prayer for regularization of petitioner's service is deemed to have been given up.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content, in case, respondents are directed to consider his case, in accordance with law and in terms of above decision within a time bound schedule. This is not objected by learned Assistant Advocate General.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the case of the petitioner qua conferment of work charge status upon him, in accordance with law laid down in ***Surajmani***[2] within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at

[2] Civil Appeal No.1595 of 2025, decided alongwith connected civil appeals on 06.02.2025

shall also be communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

27th October, 2025(rohit)