IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.4754 of 2025
Date of decision: 07.01.2025

Ravinder Dutt & Anr. ...Petitioners.

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ...Respondents.

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner	:	Mr. Shivom Vashista, Advocate.
For the respondents	:	Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Though the respondents have not filed reply to the writ petition, however, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties matter is taken up for disposal at this stage.

2. This writ petition has been filed for the grant of following substantive relief(s):-

> *"i). That a writ in the nature of mandamus may kindly be issued directing the Respondents to count the services rendered by the Petitioners on contract basis prior to their regularization as qualifying service for the purpose of pension under CCS Pension Rules, 1972, for the purpose of annual increments and leave encashment and seniority, in the interest of justice."*

3. Admittedly, the petitioners have invoked extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India without even preferring any representation to the competent authority for the redresssal of their grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be preferring representation within two weeks from today. In case, such representation is so preferred, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within further period of six weeks. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

This writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

7th January, 2025
(Pardeep)