IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.3277 of 2025

Date of Decision: 10.03.2025

Surinder Singh .…….Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors. … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Arush Matlotia, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C.Verma, Additional Advocate Generals, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation ***(Annexure P-5)*** of the petitioner, wherein he sought inter-District Transfer under 5% quota, in a time bound manner.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation ***(Annexure P-5)*** of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, besides affording opportunity of being heard to the petitioner, shall also take of provision contained in Transfer Policy, wherein specific quota has been provided for inter-District transfer and pass appropriate orders. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

March 10, 2025
(Sunil)