IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 2091 OF 2023 (PAR/INJ)

BETWEEN:

SRI BHAWAR SINGH
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
S/O SRI SARUPAJI RAJPUROHIT
R/AT NO.99, 1ST FLOOR
LAKSHMAN RAO ROAD, BALEPET CROSS
BENGALURU - 560 053.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI S.V.MANJUNATHA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI M.V.NAGESHA
S/O M.V.VENKARAMAIAH
R/AT NO.199/5, LINK ROAD
SESHADRIPURAM
BENGALURU - 560 020.
SINCE DECEASED BY HIS LRS

1(a) SMT. T.USHA RANI
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
W/O LATE M.V.NAGESHA

1(b) N.KEERTHI
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS
D/O LATE M.V.NAGESHA

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: High Court of Karnataka

1(c) N.SWATHI
AGED ABOUT 20 YEARS
D/O LATE M.V.NAGESHA

ALL ARE R/AT NO.199/5
LINK ROAD, SESHADRIPURAM
BENGALURU - 560 020.

2. SMT. MADHUMATHI
W/O SRINIVAS
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS
R/AT NO.52/1, 2ND CROSS
SOWRASTRAPET
BENGALURU - 560 053.

3. SRI M.V.THIRUMALESH
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS
S/O SRI M.V.VENKATARAMAIAH
R/AT NO.52/1, 2ND CROSS
SOWRASTRAPET
BENGALURU - 560 053.

4. SMT. MANI
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
W/O KESHAVA
R/AT NO.U-47, 7TH CROSS
1ST MAIN, NEAR RAILWAY STATION
K.P.AGRAHARA, BENGALURU - 560 023.

5. SMT. VIJAYA
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
D/O VETTALAIAH
R/AT NO.52/1, 2ND CROSS
SOWRASTRAPET
BENGALURU - 560 053.

6. SRI SRINIVAS
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
S/O VETTALAIAH
R/AT NO.52/1, 2ND CROSS
SOWRASTRAPET
BENGALURU - 560 053.

7. SMT. DHANALAKSHMI
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
W/O LATE CHANDRASHEKAR

8. SMT. TANUJA
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS
D/O LATE CHANDRASHEKAR

9. SMT. DEEPA
AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS
D/O LATE CHANDRASHEKAR

SINCE DEAD BY HER LRS

9(a) SRI RAMESH
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS

9(b) MASTER GAGAN
AGED ABOUT 3 YEARS
S/O RAMESH

SINCE MINOR REPT.
BY HIS FATHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN
SRI RAMESH.

10. SRI MANJUNATH
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS
S/O LATE CHANDRASHEKAR

11. SRI BALAJI
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS
S/O LATE CHANDRASHEKAR

SL.NO: 7 TO 11 ALL ARE
R/AT NO.3/4, 3RD MAIN ROAD
12TH CROSS, BHUVANESHWARINAGAR
BENGALURU - 560 023.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 READ WITH ORDER 41 RULE 1 OF THE CPC.

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Counsel for the appellant has appeared in person and submits that short accommodation may be granted to comply with the office objections.

This Court is not inclined to grant time. The reason is simple. As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the appeal was listed before the Registrar (P & H) and sufficient time was granted to comply with the office objections. Thereafter, the appeal was listed before the Court on 09.01.2025, on that day, finally a week's time was granted to comply with the office

objections, failing which the appeal was ordered to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objections' on 28.01.2025.

The appeal is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objections'. Despite granting sufficient time, the office objections are not complied with. It appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal. Hence, the Regular First Appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

TKN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 7