IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 6500 OF 2020 (GM-KSR)

BETWEEN:

1. REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT (RICM),
NO.67, PADMANABHANAGAR,
BSK II STAGE, BENGALURU-560 070.
RERPESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR.
DR. R.ELANGOVAN.

2. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR CO-OPERATIVE TRAINING
SITUATED AT REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF
COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT BUILDING
(TRAINING UNIT OF NCCT),
SECTOR 32-C, CHANDIGARH-160 030.
REPRESENTED BY ITS SPA HOLDER.
DR. K.RAVICHANDRAN,
DEPUTY DIRECTOR.
RICM, BENGALURU.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SMT. M.C.NAGASHREE., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

Digitally signed by PREMGHANDRA M R
Location: High Court of Karnataka

AND:

1. INSTITUTE OF COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT (ICM),
NO.67, BSK IIND STAGE, PADMANABHA NAGAR,
GANESHA MANDIRA, BENGALURU-560 070.
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN.

2. DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES AND DISTRICT REGISTRAR
OF SOCIETIES,
ZONE-3, BANGALORE DISTRICT (URBAN),

SAHAKARA SOUDHA, MALLESWARAM,
BANGALORE-560 003.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. DEVI PRASAD SHETTY., ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI. MAHANTESH SHETTAR., AGA FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

When the case is called, the petitioners are not represented. When the case is listed and called out, the advocate must be present. The absence may affect the case.

Sri.Mahantesh Shettar., AGA for respondent No.2 has appeared in person.

As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed on 28.01.2025, on that day, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners, either personally or through video conferencing. Hence, for appearance of counsel for the petitioners, the petition was ordered to be listed on 04.02.2025.

The petition is listed today for appearance of counsel for the petitioners. As already noted above, when the case is called, the petitioners are not represented. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 4