NC: 2025:KHC:3578
RFA No. 1847 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 1847 OF 2023 (DEC)

BETWEEN:

SMT.INDIRAMMA.C
D/O CHELUVAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 21, 1ST MAIN ROAD,
VEERBHADRANAGAR, BSK 3RD STAGE,
BANGALORE - 560 085.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. T.DADA KHALANDAR., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
   DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,
   VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU - 560 001.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
   DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,
   KALASIPALYAM, BENGALURU - 560 002.

3. THE BLOCK EDUCATION OFFICER,
   SOUTH ZONE-2, THULASI THOTA,
   BENGALURU - 560 053.

4. GOVERNMENT SCHOOL AND COLLEGE,
   BYATARAYANAPURA,
   BANASHANKARI 1ST STAGE,
   BANASHANKARI,
   BENGALURU - 560 026.
   REP BY ITS HEAD MASTER.

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: High Court of Karnataka

5. SRI SHARADA HIGH SCHOOL
AND COLLEGE,
NO. 25-2, 5TH CROSS,
BYATARAYANAPURA,
BANASHANKARI 1ST STAGE,
BANASHANKARI, BENGALURU - 560 026.
REPRESENTED BY ITS HEAD MASTER.

6. KARNATAKA STATE OPEN UNIVERSITY,
MANASAGANGOTRI,
MYSURU - 570 006.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE CPC, 1908.

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Counsel for the appellant has appeared in person and sought time to comply with the office objections.

This Court is not inclined to grant time. The reason is plain. As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the appeal was listed before Registrar (P & H) on several dates and sufficient time was granted to comply with the office objections. Again the appeal was listed on 08.01.2025, on that day, finally two weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections, failing which the office was directed to list the

appeal under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objections' on 27.01.2025.

The appeal is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objections'. Despite granting sufficient time, the appellant has not complied with the office objections. It appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal. In view of peremptory order dated 08.01.2025, the appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP,TKN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 8