NC: 2025:KHC:5472
CRL.A No. 1975 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1975 OF 2023

BETWEEN:

MR. L. NAGALINGAIAH
S/O LATE LINGAPPA,
AGED 78 YEARS,
FOOD INSPECTOR,
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
SOUTH DIVISION, FOOD, CIVIL SUPPLIES
AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT,
CHAMARAJAPETE, BENGALURU.
RESIDING AT NO.37, SIDDESHWARA SADANA,
RAJEEVGANDHI ROAD, KANAKPURA MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU.
REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL
SRI. RAHUL R. GORAWAR, ADVOCATE.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. GORAWAR RAHUL RAMANAND, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by MALATESH K C
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY POLICE INSPECTOR,
KARNATAKA LOKAYUKTHA CITY DIVISION,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

...RESPONDENT

THIS CRL.A IS FILED U/S 374(2) OF CR.PC PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT DATED 28.04.2023 IN SPL.C.C.NO.74/2013 BY THE COURT OF THE XXIII ADDL. CITY

CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, SPECIAL JUDGE, BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT (CCH-24), BENGALURU CITY, CONVICTING THE APPELLANT / ACCUSED, FOR THE OFFENCE U/S 13(1)(e), 13(2) OF PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AND ETC.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL JUDGMENT

An Appeal came to filed on 05.09.2023 challenging the validity of the judgment of conviction passed by the learned XXIII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge Special Judge, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru in Spl.C.C.No.74/2013 dated 28.04.2023.

2. Office had raised several objections on scrutiny of the appeal papers. The matter was listed before the Court for curing the office objections on 24.11.2023, wherein two weeks time has been granted to cure the office objections. Again, the matter was listed before the Court on 15.03.2024 and one week time was finally granted to cure the office objections.

Thereafter, the matter was again listed before the Court today i.e., 06.02.2025 for curing the office objections.

3. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

4. Appellant being the convict, has not paid the fine amount of Rs.60,00,000/- imposed by the learned Special Judge as well.

5. Taking note of these aspects of the matter, the appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

SJK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 7
CT: BHK