HC-KAR

NC: 2025:KHC:24505-DB
CCC No. 415/2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF JULY, 2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K.S.MUDAGAL

AND

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO.415/2023

BETWEEN:

SRI SHIVANANJE GOWDA B N
S/O LATE B C NANJE GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
R/AT NO. 157/2
KEERTHI NILAYA DEVARAHATTI
RAMESHWARA BADAVANE
CHANNARAYAPATNA
BEHIND NEW BUS STOP
HASSAN-573 116 ...COMPLAINANT

(PARTY-IN-PERSON)

AND:

1. SRI SURALKAR VIKAS KISHOR, IAS
EX-SECRETARY
KARNATAKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
PARK HOUSE, BANGALORE -560 001

2. SMT. K.S. LATHA KUMARI
SECRETARY
KARNATAKA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
PARK HOUSE, BENGALURU – 560 001 ...ACCUSED

(BY SRI P.S.RAJAGOPAL, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR
SRI PRAKASH K.M., ADVOCATE)

THIS CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971 PRAYING TO INITIATE AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AGAINST ACCUSED NOS.1 AND 2 FOR DELIBERATE DISOBEDIENCE OF THE DIRECTIONS ISSUED BY THIS COURT IN THE ORDER DATED 21.04.2022 (ANNEXURE-A)

Digitally signed by
K S RENUKAMBA
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka

PASSED IN WP.NO.7095/2022 (S-KSAT) AND PUNISH THEM FOR THE DELIBERATE CONTEMPT THAT THEY HAVE COMMITTED BY CONTUMACIOUS CONDUCT.

THIS CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION COMING ON FOR HEARING BEFORE CHARGE THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K.S.MUDAGAL
AND
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K.S.MUDAGAL)

The complainant is present.

2. The report of the party-in-person committee dated 27.06.2025 shows that the complainant was found incompetent to assist the Court as party-in-person. Therefore, he cannot be permitted to conduct his case.

3. Despite the Court offering him to avail the services of learned Counsel from High Court Legal Services Committee or Court appointed *Amicus Curiae*, the complainant flatly refused to avail such services. He submits that he has filed his written arguments and the Court shall decide the matter on considering the same.

4. The report of the Party-In-Person committee shows that the complainant is incompetent to assist the Court and he

does not know the nuances of law and Court proceedings. Hence, his prayer is rejected. As he refuses to avail the services offered to him by the Court, this Court having regard to the Rules 8(g) and 10 of High Court of Karnataka (Conduct of Proceedings by Party-In-Person) Rules, 2018, disable him from appearing as party-in-person.

5. Since the complainant declines to avail the services of learned Counsel from High Court Legal Services Committee or *Amicus Curiae*, this Court has no other option but to close the proceedings. Hence, the proceedings are closed.

Pending IAs stood disposed of.

Sd/-
(K.S.MUDAGAL)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(P SREE SUDHA)
JUDGE

HJ
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20