NC: 2025:KHC:808
WP No. 17620 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 9TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 17620 OF 2016 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M.S. BUILDING,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

2. THE TAHSILDAR,
MANGALURU TALUK,
MANGALURU,
DAKSHINA KANNADA.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. BASAVARAJ GODAEHI, AGA)

AND:

Digitally signed by SUVARNA T
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. MRS. SEETHA L. RAI,
W/O LATE LAKKAPPA RAI,
AGED 92 YEARS,
R/O BELMA VILLAGE,
P.O. DERALAKATTE,
MANGALURU - 574 160.

1(A) NAYANA RAI,
W/O LATE P. SADASHIVA RAI,
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS,
R/AT NO.6-138-1,
KATHYAYINI BHAVANA, MAIN ROAD,
MUNDKURU, UDUPI - 576 121,

1(B). SAPNA SANTHOSH SHETTY,
W/O SANTHOSH V. SHTTY,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
R/AT H-4 301, MORAJ RESIDENCY,
PALM BEACH ROAD,
MORAJ, SECTOR-16 ANPADA EAST,
NAVI MUMBAI, THANE,
MAHARASHTRA - 400 705.

2. DHUMAPPA RAI
SINCE DECEASED BY LRS.

2(A). KANAJAXI,
D/O LATE DHUMAPPA RAI,
AGED 57 YEARS,
R/AT PANJIMOGARU VILLAGE AND POST,
MANGALURU - 575 101.

2(B). SUSHEELA,
D/O LATE DHUMAPPA RAI,
AGED 59 YEARS,
R/AT PAVOORGUTHU HOUSE,
PAVOOR VILLAGE AND POST,
MANGALURU - 575 101.

2(C). RAMAKRISHNA RAI,
S/O LATE DHUMAPPA RAI,
AGED 44 YEARS,
R/AT PAVOORGUTHU HOUSE,
PAVOOR VILLAGE AND POST,
MANGALURU - 575 101.

2(D). BALAKRISHNA RAI,
S/O LATE DHUMAPPA RAI,
AGED 54 YEARS,
R/AT PAVOORGUTHU HOUSE,
PAVOOR VILLAGE AND POST,
MANGALURU - 575 101.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. AJAY KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2(A TO D);
R1 - SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED;
PROPOSED R1(A) SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 03.06.2014 AND THE ORDER DATED 27.11.2015, PASSED BY THE COURT OF II ADDL. SR. C.J., MANGALORE IN EXECUTION CASE NO.88/2005 WHICH ARE AT ANNEX-A & B AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

There is no representation on behalf of both the parties.

When the matter came up before this Court on 08.01.2025, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'dismissal' for today. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of both the parties.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

KA
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 7
CT: BHK