IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO. 6777 OF 2025 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI D V RAMAMURTHY
   S/O D V VISWHANATHA IYER,
   AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
   PRESENTLY R/AT C/O NAGESH H R,
   B BLOCK, G 10,
   SAI VIHAR APARTMENT,
   NEAR RASHTROTHANA HOSPITAL,
   R R NAGAR,
   BANGALORE-560029

2. SRI JAGADISHA MURTHY
   S/O LATE L M DEVIRACHAR,
   AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
   R/AT NO.236, 16TH MAIN,
   HSR SECTOR 3,
   BANGALORE-560102

3. SRI NAGARAJA N (KEB)
   S/O LATE NANJEGOWDA,
   AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
   R/AT ELITE SCHOOL ROAD,
   HEMAVATHI NAGAR,
   HASSAN-573201

4. SRI S M KOTRESH
   S/O LATE S M NAGENDRAIAH,

Digitally signed by SUNITHA K S
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/AT ADITHYA NILAYA,
9TH CROSS,
VINOBHA NAGAR,
DEVARAJ URS LAYOUT,
SOMINAKOPPA ROAD,
SHIMOGGA-577201

5. SRI KESHAVA PRASAD
S/O LATE M K GOPALKRISHNA MURTHY,
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
R/AT HIG 532, 14TH CROSS,
OPP TO SANKALPA SIDDARTHA APARTMENT,
SRIRAMPURA 2ND STAGE,
MYSORE-570023

6. SRI A NAGABHUSHAN
S/O N APPAIAH REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
R/AT 834, 26TH MAIN,
HSR LAYOUT, IST SECTOR,
BANGALORE-560102

7. SRI B KALAIAH
S/O LATE CHANNAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/AT NO.279,
PANCHAMUKHI NILAYA,
VIJAYANAGARA 4TH PHASE, II STAGE,
NEAR SVEI COLLEGE,
MYSORE-570032

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SRINIVASA K., ADV.)

AND:

1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KPTCL, CORPORATE OFFICE,
CAUVERY BHAVANA
BANGALORE-560009

2. THE DIRECTOR (A AND HR)
KPTCL,
CORPORATE OFFICE,
CAUVERY BHAVANA,
BANGALORE-560009

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. CHANDRACHUD A., ADV.)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DTD 29.01.2024, 10.02.2025, 6.01.2025, 22.11.2023, 25.01.2024, 2.12.2023 AND 29.01.2024 ADDRESSED TO THE R-2 VIDE ANNX-H TO H6 AND TO GRANT ONE ANNUAL INCREMENT FOR THE LAST YEAR OF SERVICE RENDERED BY THE PETITIONER AND OT PROPERLY FIX THEIR BASIC PAY AND TO PAY ALL THE CONSEQUENTLY DIFFERENCE OF MONETARY/RETIREMENT BENEFITS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners have filed this writ petition seeking for mandamus directing the respondents to consider the set of representations of the petitioners dated 29.01.2024, 10.02.2025, 06.01.2025, 22.11.2023, 25.01.2024, 02.12.2023 and 29.01.2024 respectively, vide Annexures - H to H6, and to grant one annual increment for the last year of service rendered by the petitioners, and to properly fix their basic pay and to pay all the consequential difference of monetary/retirement benefits.

2. Brief facts leading rise to the filing of the writ petition are as follows:

The petitioners retired from the service of the respondent-Corporation, who were relieved from service

on attaining the age of Superannuation. Their service and increment sanctioning due dates are mentioned below:

Sl. No.	Petitioner	Date of last increment sanctioned	Date of retirement	Due date of increment
1.	D.V.Ramamurthy	01.05.2011	30.04.2012	01.05.2012
2.	Jagadisha Murthy	01.04.2015	31.03.2016	01.04.2016
3.	N.Nagaraja	01.05.2010	30.04.2011	01.05.2011
4.	S.M.Kotresh	01.04.2015	31.03.2016	01.04.2016
5.	Keshava Prasad	01.05.2014	30.04.2015	01.05.2015
6.	A.Nagabhushan	01.06.2012	31.05.2013	01.06.2013
7.	B.Kalaiah	01.07.2016	30.06.2017	01.07.2017

3. They have rendered full and continuous year of service before retirement, the increment for the said period has not been sanctioned. They have submitted a representations requesting for sanction of their annual increment for the last year of service rendered by them, and also brought to the notice of the respondents that the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP.No.6185/2020 dated 11.04.2023 is applicable to this case. However, respondent No.2 has not passed any order on the representations submitted by the petitioners. Hence, this writ petition.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and also learned counsel for the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that, if reasonable time is granted, respondent No.2 would consider the representations at Annexures H to H6 in the writ petition, and would pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

6. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for respondent No.2, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

i. The writ petition is ***allowed***.

ii. Respondent No.2 is directed to consider Annexures H to H6 of the writ petition in terms of the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP.No.6185/2020 dated 11.04.2023, and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within 8 weeks from

the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

iii. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-
(ASHOK S.KINAGI)
JUDGE

SSB