NC: 2025:KHC:1993
WP No. 46755 of 2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 46755 OF 2017 (GM-CC)

BETWEEN:

S. SATHYENDRAPRAKASH
S/O LATE P.SUCHITHANANDA KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
R/O BETHEL NILAYA, OPP TO TULASI,
KALYANA MANDIRA, HIRIYUR ROAD,
HIRIYUR-577 598.
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. CHANNEGOWDA.P.S., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

AND:

1. SUPERINDENT OF POLICE,
   CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT CELL,
   NO.1389/32, 3RD CROSS,
   SHIVAKUMARASWAMI BADAVANE,
   DAVANAGERE.

2. ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
   CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT CELL,
   PALACE ROAD, BENGALURU-560 002.
   KARNATAKA.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. C.JAGADISH., ADVOCATE FOR R1 &2)

Digitally signed by THEJASKUMAR N
Location: High Court of Karnataka

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

When the case is called, the petitioner is not represented. When the case is listed and called out, the advocate must be present. The absence may affect the case.

As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed on 16.01.2025, on that day, when the matter is called, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either personally or through video conferencing. Hence, for appearance of counsel for the petitioner it was ordered to be listed on 17.01.2025.

The petition is listed today for appearance of counsel for the petitioner. As already noted above, when the case is called, the petitioner is not represented. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 58