HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF JUNE, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4708 OF 2024 (SCST)

BETWEEN:

SRI. MANJUNATHA
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
S/O SRI THIMARAYAPPA
RESIDING AT NO.1
BANDENA AGRAHARA
1ST CROSS
BAYAPANAHALLI
BANGALORE - 560033.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
   DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
   VIDHANA SOUDHA
   DR B R AMBEDKAR VEEDI
   BANGALORE - 560001.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
   KOLAR DISTRICT
   KOLAR - 563101.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
   KOLAR SUB DIVISION
   KOLAR - 563101.

4. SMT. PARVATAHMMA
   W/O SRI SRINIVAS

Digitally signed by SHARMA ANAND CHAYA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AGED : MAJOR
RESIDING AT NEELAKANTA AGRAHARA VILLAGE
KASABA HOBLI
MALUR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563130.

5. SMT. SRIDEVI
D/O SRI SRINIVAS
AGED : MAJOR
RESIDING AT NEELAKANTA AGRAHARA VILLAGE
KASBA HOBLI
MALUR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563130.

6. SMT. MADAVI
D/O SRI SRINIVAS
AGED : MAJOR
RESIDING AT NEELAKANTA AGARAHARA VILLAGE
KASABA HOBLI
MALUR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563130.

7. SRI SRIKANTH
S/O SRI SRINIVAS
AGED : MAJOR
RESIDING AT NEELAKANTA AGARAHARA VILLAGE
KASABA HOBLI
MALUR TALUK
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563130.

8. SRI SRINIVAS @ MURAASRINIVAS
S/O SRI RAMAPPA
AGED : MAJOR
RESIDING AT NO.115
NEAR VENKATARAMANA TEMPLE
IMMDHALLI
BENGALURU - 560066.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 28/09/2022 IN PTCL/07/2021 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 PRODUCED HEREIN AS ANNEXURE-A; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

On the last date of occasion, this court has granted four weeks time to comply with the office objection, with a condition that, if petitioner fails to do so, the present petition would be liable to be dismissed.

Till today, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has not complied with the office objections. Hence, the petition is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB:List No.: 1 Sl No.: 4